IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

GALVESTON DIVISION

RADOSLAV DIMITRIC	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. G-06-107
	§	
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, ET AL.	§	

DADOGLAM DIMEDIO

ORDER

Before the Court is the "Motion for the Court to Reconsider its Order and Opinion of March 20, 2007" filed by *pro se* Plaintiff, Radoslav Dimitric; the Motion seeks relief from this Court's Order which granted the Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Experts and denied Dimitric's "Motion to Allow More Time for Filing Reports by Expert Witnesses and Designating Additional Witnesses."

On December 5, 2006, this Court issued a Docket Control Order setting deadlines for delivery of expert witness reports by Plaintiff (February 16, 2007) and Defendants (March 16, 2007); the completion of discovery (April 27, 2007); and the filing of dispositive motions (May 18, 2007) and responses (June 15, 2007). Dimitric failed to provide any expert witness reports by the due date, in fact, he is still not in a position to do so now, seven weeks after the deadline has passed. The Galveston Division presides over the largest civil docket, by far, in the entire Southern District of Texas, and to maintain control over its docket it must insist on compliance with its rules and its orders. *Pro Se* parties, like lawyers, must comply or suffer the consequences of non-compliance. Cf. Jones v. Phipps, 39 F.3d 158, 163 (7th Cir. 1994)

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Dimitric's "Motion for the Court to Reconsider its Order and Opinion of March 20, 2007" (Instrument no. 91) is **DENIED**.

	It is further ORDERED that	the current Docket	Control Order (In	nstrument no.	59) remains
in full	force and effect				

DONE at Galveston, Texas, this _____ day of April, 2007.

John R. Froeschner United States Magistrate Judge